

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.ispto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,621	10/09/2001	Dominik J. Schmidt		6262	
7	7590 01/17/2003				
Dominik H. Schmidt			EXAMINER		
Airify Communication, Inc., p.o. Box 20541			DINKINS, ANTHONY		
Standford,, CA 94309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .	
			2831	, 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

) -		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/973,621	SCHMIDT, DOMIN	JIK .i			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	Anthony Dinkins	2831				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a			dress			
Period for	Reply						
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static ply received by the Office later than three months after the mail patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, oply within the statutory minimum d will apply and will expire SIX (ate, cause the application to because	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on OS	October 2001					
اصرا (2a	· · · _ ·	This action is non-final.					
3)□	/			a marite is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
·		n					
-	 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wii iroiii consideration.					
· <u> </u>	· · - 						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requiremen	nt				
Application		, or orosion roquironio.	•••				
9)[] T	he specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved b) disapproved by the Examine	er.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.					
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	B. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the properties application from the International Experted the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(The second secon					
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(sice of Informal Patent Application (PTCer:				
S. Patent and Tra	Nemark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/973,621

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- a. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohen (5,270,251). Regarding claim 1, Cohen discloses Fig. 1 a silicon capacitor having a metal portion (16) on the substrate (12); a silicon nitride (SiN) (23) portion sputtered on the substrate (12); and a silicon (Si) (22) portion sputtered on the silicon nitride (23) portion. Regarding claim 2, wherein the silicon nitride decreases leakage. Because Cohen possesses the claimed features of claim 1, then the characteristics of claim 2 would be meet as it relates to the silicon nitride decreasing the leakage. Regarding claim 3, wherein a silicon nitride portion (25). Regarding claim 4, wherein the capacitor includes a second metal portion (20). Regarding claim 5, wherein the capacitor being formed by the claimed process limitation in articles claims and cannot serve to patentably define the product over the prior of record. See MPEP 2113 and 2173.05 (p).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/973,621

Art Unit: 2831

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen. Cohen discloses the claimed invention except for having the desired thickness being approximately forty angstroms thick for each layer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have each layer being the desired thickness of approximately forty angstroms thick, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Larson	5,005,102
Sakuma et al.	5,262,920
Nishioka	5,973,911
Smrtic et al.	5,479,316
Maniar	5.185.689

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Dinkins whose telephone number is (703) 308-0488. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (703) 308-3682. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

AD January 9, 2003 Anthony Dinkins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2831____

ANTHONY DINKINS
PRIMARY EXAMINER